

1. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:37:28 PM

Interesting, but what specifically has improved? What are the criteria for improvement? What leads you to believe that these improvements are superintelligent? Can you define that term?

2. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:39:24 PM

This is a hedge. "Seems" isn't exactly "clear."

3. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:40:32 PM

Every one? By "our" do you mean Meta's or every system

4. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:41:27 PM

Could you say this less paradoxically? How can you be certain it will create something if you can't imagine that thing? This seems as if it might be

5. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:43:07 PM

Again, who is this "we?" Do you mean all of us? Meta? Or is this a royal we?"

6. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:44:15 PM

Don't all new eras have a historical background? It would be difficult to argue that instudiralization or even the Information Age, just showed up one day announced.

7. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:45:44 PM

This doesn't strike most people as recent, but that aside, why choose this date?

8. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:47:23 PM

Somewhat misleading. Most farming is about subsistence in some way, even when we have others do it for us. I think you mean something slightly different. Could you rephrase this to be clearer about that?

9. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:49:06 PM

This suggests that most labor today is not performed for people to subsist in their daily lives. Can you give some specific evidence of how that's the case?

10. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:50:45 PM

This phrasing creates an interesting dichotomy. Is that intended or not? Are you suggesting that prior to 250 years ago, the changes were slower?

Personal Superintelligence

- 1 Over the last few months we have begun to see glimpses of our AI systems improving themselves. The improvement is slow for now, but undeniable. Developing superintelligence is now in sight.
- 2 3 It seems clear that in the coming years, AI will improve all our existing systems and enable the creation and discovery of new things that aren't imaginable today. But it is an open question what we will direct superintelligence towards.
- 4
- 5
- 6 In some ways this will be a new era for humanity, but in others it's just a continuation of historical trends. As recently as 200
- 7
- 8 years ago, 90% of people were farmers growing food to survive.
- 9 Advances in technology have steadily freed much of humanity to focus less on subsistence and more on the pursuits we choose. At each step, people have used our newfound productivity to achieve more than was previously possible, pushing the frontiers
- 10 of science and health, as well as spending more time on creativity, culture, relationships, and enjoying life. I am extremely optimistic that superintelligence will help
- 11 humanity accelerate our pace of progress. But perhaps even more important is that superintelligence has the potential to
- 12 begin a new era of personal empowerment where people will have greater agency to improve the world in the directions they choose.
- 13 As profound as the abundance produced by AI may one day be, an even more meaningful impact on our lives will likely come from everyone having a personal superintelligence that helps you
- 14 achieve your goals, create what you want to see in the world, experience any adventure, be a better friend to those you care about, and grow to become the person you aspire to be. Meta's vision is to bring personal superintelligence to everyone.
- 15 We believe in putting this power in people's hands to direct it towards what they value in their own lives.
- 16 This is distinct from others in the industry who believe superintelligence should be directed centrally towards

That time spend on creativity and relationships was less?

11. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:53:08 PM

Are we progressing? In what direction? Some people would debate that assertion. It might be helpful to anticipate counterarguments here. Why is tempo helpful to this? There's a counterargument there, too. It might be helpful to the entire argument to articulate specific goals.

12. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:55:43 PM

Improving the world is, by definition, the opposite of personal. Moreover, many people will disagree on what improvement. What happens when your version and my version clash? Can you think of examples in, let's say the last 200 years, where that has been the case? What are the consequences of that? Whose version wins out and why?

13. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:58:22 PM

Abundance of what?

14. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 2:59:02 PM

Very interesting ambitions. What present-day human roles does a superintelligence augment when you phrase it this way? The bears on what you claim in subsequent paragraphs.

15. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 3:00:57 PM

This seems overstated. You are going to make it possible to have it directed toward values that you find odious? That some cultures might say are illegal? That people might find immoral? This seems like overstatement that could be made more specific.

16. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 3:03:23 PM

Classic strawman argument. You need to say who says this and show where they say this. Without evidence, you have simply invented an adversary to serve your own purpose.

17. Neoprynnne
July 31, 2025 at 3:04:48 PM
Is this accurate? Has no progress ever been made by the oppressed? By people under duress? By people who could not fulfill all their individual aspirations?

18. Neoprynnne
July 31, 2025 at 3:06:17 PM
This isn't historically accurate. Your argument in paragraph 3 points that out.

19. Neoprynnne
July 31, 2025 at 3:08:36 PM
Which ones? Can you point to specific evidence?

20. Neoprynnne
July 31, 2025 at 3:08:59 PM
I personally wouldn't expect this.

21. Neoprynnne
July 31, 2025 at 3:09:36 PM
Creating what? Connecting how?

22. Neoprynnne
July 31, 2025 at 3:10:11 PM
To many people, this might seem quite dystopian. It sounds like surveillance. This is a problem that Meta has struggled with in the past (data privacy and misuse), so it might be worth thinking about how you want to represent this. It's also a weirdly ableist argument when you talk about "seeing" and "hearing" as being implicitly necessary qualities for "improving."

23. Neoprynnne
July 31, 2025 at 3:12:35 PM
Shared how? What are the limits of possible?

24. Neoprynnne
July 31, 2025 at 3:13:00 PM
Namely?

25. Neoprynnne
July 31, 2025 at 3:13:50 PM
We = Meta? Rigorous how? This is exactly a place where specific pledges of transparency might build credibility.

26. Neoprynnne
July 31, 2025 at 3:15:10 PM
This is a dangerous argument. It's saying that whoever builds the free society is the "we" and that "people" are the beneficiaries of what "we" decide? Wouldn't a free society defer to what the people themselves want? Not what they are given from above?

27. Neoprynnne
July 31, 2025 at 3:17:03 PM
Why this decade? Why wouldn't this be a matter of ongoing, and

17 automating all valuable work, and then humanity will live on a dole of its output. At Meta, we believe that people pursuing their individual aspirations is how we have always made progress expanding prosperity, science, health, and culture. This will be increasingly important in the future as well.

18 The intersection of technology and how people live is Meta's focus, and this will only become more important in the future.

19 20 If trends continue, then you'd expect people to spend less time in productivity software, and more time creating and connecting.

21 22 Personal superintelligence that knows us deeply, understands our goals, and can help us achieve them will be by far the most useful. Personal devices like glasses that understand our context because they can see what we see, hear what we hear, and interact with us throughout the day will become our primary computing devices.

23 We believe the benefits of superintelligence should be shared with the world as broadly as possible. That said,

24 25 superintelligence will raise novel safety concerns. We'll need to be rigorous about mitigating these risks and careful about what we choose to open source. Still, we believe that building a free society requires that we aim to empower people as much as possible.

27 The rest of this decade seems likely to be the decisive period for determining the path this technology will take, and whether

28 superintelligence will be a tool for personal empowerment or a force focused on replacing large swaths of society.

Meta believes strongly in building personal superintelligence that empowers everyone. We have the resources and the expertise to build the massive infrastructure required, and the capability and will to deliver new technology to billions of people across our products. I'm excited to focus Meta's efforts towards building this future.

– Mark

July 30, 2025

unceasing debate? Are the decisions being made now final? Why? According to whom?

28. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 3:18:14 PM

This suggests that your straperson rivals are genocidal! Is that what you really want to say?

29. Neoprynnne

July 31, 2025 at 3:19:06 PM

This is also a bit of a red flag to some people. Why does this require a particular sort of "will" that's specific to Meta? What is that will?